UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,603	03/30/2001	Guojun Zhou	042390.P10779	1244
45209 INTEL/BSTZ	7590 09/05/200	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			SHANG, ANNAN Q	
· =	1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/822,603	ZHOU, GUOJUN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ANNAN Q. SHANG	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,13,14,16-23,27,28,32 and 34-4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,13,14,16-23,27,28,32 and 34-7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.  38 is/are rejected.	on.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/02/08 has been entered.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 13, 14, 16-23, 27, 28, 32 and 34-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant amends claims, discusses the prior arts of record and the claimed invention, further argues that "Griggs does not antedate the present applicant,..." that "...Provisional Application No. 60/219,857 contains a business plan and two technical disclosures..." that "...Ellis does not cure the deficiencies of Griggs..." etc.,(see page 11+ of Applicant's Remarks).

In response, Examiner notes Applicant's arguments, however, the Examiner disagrees. The disclosure in Griggs's Pub. No: US 2002/0029384, is further disclosed in both provisional applications (whole document pages of Provisional Application 60/233581 filed 09/19/00 and pages 32-35 of Provisional Application No. 60/219,857

Application/Control Number: 09/822,603 Page 3

Art Unit: 2623

filed 07/20/00). Hence, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Hence, the prior art Griggs, is a proper prior art. The 103(a) rejection of the last office action, using Griggs in view of Ellis and further in view of Knudson, is proper, meets all the amended claims and the newly added claims limitations as discussed below. Newly added Claims 37 and 38, limitations, are met as discussed in claims 1 and 2 in the office action. **This** office action is non-final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18-23, 27, 28, 32 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Griggs (2002/0029384)** in view of **Ellis et al (6,774,926)** and further in view of **Knudson et al (2005/0204387)**

As to claims 1-3, note the **Griggs** reference figures 1-5, discloses mechanism for distributing content data and further discloses a method comprising:

Providing a program guide of a television programming to enable a customer to create a custom channel by selecting at least one TV program from the program guide and specifying a viewing time (figs.1-5, System Server 'SS' 105, 125, 207, etc, page 2, [0028-0031] and [0033-0034])

Art Unit: 2623

Receiving input from the customer including the selected program and the specified viewing time; determining whether to include the selected program in the custom channel; obtaining programs for custom channel and transmitting to the customer the custom channel created by the customer (page 3, [0036-0038], [0041-0044] and [0046-0050], [0052-0055] and [0064-0065]) and further teaches encrypting the broadcast (page 4, [0047-0048]), note that SS-105 stores users' preferences, accounts or payment information, location, etc., and based on these parameters determines whether to include the selected program to the customized list and further establishes a personal communication channel ([0053]) with the user's TV set ([0056] and [0061]) to transmit the customized TV programs (PPV, etc.,) accordingly.

Griggs's System Server customizes a channel for a user by establishing a communication channel with a user to stream broadcast programs (live, PPV or preordered), but fails to explicitly teach where the custom channel is a user created channel.

However, note the **Ellis** reference figures 1-2, discloses personal television channel system, which permits a viewer or contributor to create a personal channel(s) and where a server transmits personal channel(s) and data as indicated by the viewer via cable network or satellite (figs.1, 8-14, col.2, line 57-col.3, line 29, line 55-col.4, line 4, line 59-col.5, line 22, col.9, line 61-col.10, line 33, col.13, line 29-col.14, line 12 and line 24-51).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Ellis into the system of Griggs to allow a

user to have control of the broadcast channel(s) by indicating to the System Server or the Service Provider, a preferred channel to transmit the requested program(s) of interest.

Griggs as modified by Ellis, fail to explicitly teach determining where to add/delete program(s) by resolving conflicts and related recited limitations.

However, **Knudson** discloses an interactive program guide system (client/server) and method where when a user orders a program or program package, the server resolves conflicts, communicates information to the client, adding, deleting, etc., program(s) of a package of program(s) to meet the clients orders or purchases (figs.1-3, 77-96+, [0054-0058] and [0198-0203]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Knudson into the system of Griggs as modified by Ellis in order to resolve conflicts and properly package, the requested or purchased program(s) within appropriate broadcast time slots, for the user(s).

As to claim 2, Grigg is silent to allowing a customer to create more than one custom channel and obtaining programs for more than one custom channel and simultaneously transmitting to the customer the custom channels.

However, Ellis further discloses allowing a customer to create favorite channels and transmitting the custom favorite channels simultaneously to the customer (col.15, lines 4-35).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Ellis into the system of Grigg to allow a

Art Unit: 2623

customer to create favorite channels and display only the favorite channels and associated selected programs.

As to claims 4-5, Griggs further discloses providing access to TV programming comprises providing the customer at least one access code to the a program in the program guide, an access code including and access level and pre-recording a program in the custom channel if the customer desires to view the program later time (page 3, [0035], [0038-0042] and [0045-0048]).

Claims 13-14 are met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

As to claim 16, Gigg is silent to providing a voice recognition interface.

However, Ellis teaches providing a voice recognition interface (col.5, line 57-col.6, line 22).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Ellis into the system of Grigg to enable a user to control functions or various operations by directly speaking into a voice recognition system thereby eliminating any physical interaction with an input device.

As to claim 17, Grigg further discloses where the user interface comprises a webbased user interface ([0055] and [0061].

As to claims 18-19, the claimed "a method comprising..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claims 1.

Claim 20 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1.

Page 7

As to claim 21, Griggs further discloses recordable digital video (Disk/local Storage) "video storage medium" couple to the receiver to receive and store television programming (page 5, [0053-0060]).

Claim 22 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1.

As to claim 23, Griggs further discloses an access circuitry coupled to the customer interface, for receiving and verifying a viewer access code (page 3, [0035], [0038-0042] and [0045-0048]).

Claim 27 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 5.

As to claim 28, the claimed "An article of manufacture, comprising..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 32 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 2.

Claim 34 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 5.

Claim 35 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1.

Claim 36 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 16.

Claims 37-38 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-2.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Annan Q. Shang** whose telephone number is **571-272-7355**. The examiner can normally be reached on **700am-400pm**.

Application/Control Number: 09/822,603 Page 8

Art Unit: 2623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher S. Kelley** can be reached on **571-272-7331**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the **Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)**. If you would like assistance from a **USPTO Customer Service Representative** or access to the automated information system, **call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000**.

/Annan Q Shang/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2623

Annan Q. Shang.